

From: Shane Dowling [mailto:shanedowling@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, 15 July 2015 12:00 PM
To: 'Nicole Thomas'
Subject: RE: Megan Latham story - Shane Dowling - KCA

Dear Ms Thomas

Saying the working title is defamatory does not reject all the allegations. What allegations in the questions does Megan Latham reject? And why won't she answer the questions given it is in the public interest to do so? E.g. The mafia have bribed NSW Judges \$2.2million (as reported by Fairfax Media and the ABC) but ICAC have not investigated. Why have ICAC not investigated?

You say the working title is defamatory. Will Megan Latham institute defamation proceedings if I use that title.

Regards

Shane Dowling
[Kangaroo Court of Australia](#)
Ph 0411 238 704

From: Nicole Thomas [mailto:nthomas@icac.nsw.gov.au]
Sent: Wednesday, 15 July 2015 11:48 AM
To: Shane Dowling
Subject: RE: Megan Latham story - Shane Dowling - KCA

Dear Mr Dowling,
The Commission's statement that the working title of your story is defamatory necessarily rejects all the allegations you have made.
Regards,
Nicole Thomas

From: Shane Dowling [mailto:shanedowling@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, 15 July 2015 11:09 AM
To: Nicole Thomas
Subject: RE: Megan Latham story - Shane Dowling - KCA

Dear Ms Thomas

From your response I take it that Megan Latham is fine with me publishing the story and has in effect admitted taking bribes and/or acting corruptly?

Regards

Shane Dowling
[Kangaroo Court of Australia](#)
Ph 0411 238 704

From: Nicole Thomas [mailto:nthomas@icac.nsw.gov.au]
Sent: Wednesday, 15 July 2015 10:57 AM

To: Shane Dowling
Subject: RE: Megan Latham story - Shane Dowling - KCA

Dear Mr Dowling,

The working title of your story is defamatory, and we have no comment to make in relation to the questions you have asked.

Regards,
Nicole Thomas

From: Shane Dowling [<mailto:shanedowling@hotmail.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, 15 July 2015 10:30 AM

To: Nicole Thomas; mlatham@icac.nsw.gov.au

Subject: Megan Latham story - Shane Dowling - KCA

Hi Nicole

I am writing a story with the working title *"ICAC Commissioner Megan Latham covers up judicial bribery by the Mafia and others. How much has Latham been bribed?"* and I have a few questions.

I spoke to ICAC employee Nechal Dhillon and she said it was fine for me to write story about Megan Latham being corrupt. In fact Ms Dhillon encouraged it.

Questions

1. In the last week Fairfax Media and the ABC's Four Corners program have said that the Police reports say that the Mafia have bribed NSW judges \$2.2million. Why has ICAC and Megan Latham failed to investigate the Mafia bribing NSW Judges? Is it because a lot of the judges are Megan Latham's friends? Was Megan Latham a recipient of part of the \$2.2million bribe?
2. I made a compliant to ICAC regrading numerous judges acting corruptly. When I spoke to Nechal Dhillon yesterday she made it very clear that ICAC were going to sweep the compliant under the carpet and do nothing. Ms Dhillon did not hesitate to lie, deceive, duck and weave on the phone. She clearly had Megan Latham's authority to do this. Why has Megan Latham sent word down the line to cover-up the judicial bribery complaint by me?
3. When Megan Latham was working as a judge of the District Court from 1998 to 2005 and Supreme Court judge from 2005 onwards was she ever offered a bribe?
4. Has Megan Latham ever accepted a bribe?
5. My complaint raises conduct by the following judicial officers, alleges judicial bribery and has documented evidence: Justice Ian Harrison, Justice Lucy McCallum, Justice Peter Hall, Justice Michael Adams, Acting Justice Henric Nicholas (now retired), Justice Clifton Hoeben, Acting Justice Robert Hulme, Justice David Davies, Justice Peter Garling, Justice Stephen Campbell and Chief Justice Tom Bathurst. Can you please identify which of the listed judges Megan Latham knows personally?
6. For the judges that Megan Latham identifies that she knows personally can Ms Latham please advise how well she knows them personally? E.g. Does she socialize with them and how often etc.

7. Given there is a conflict of interest when taking into account Megan Latham's judicial position as a judge of the Supreme Court of NSW and at least a very powerful perception of bias (if not real bias). The Cunneen hearing I understand was to have an interstate barrister/judge acting as Commissioner but the hearing was cancelled given the High Court judgment. Why has my complaint not been dealt with from someone interstate as ICAC were going to do with Margaret Cunneen's hearing?
8. As it currently stands ICAC and Commissioner Megan Latham have acted a lot more corruptly than Margaret Cunneen has been accused of. Will Megan Latham be resigning?

It would be greatly appreciated if the questions could be answered ASAP as I will possibly have follow-up questions.

Regards

Shane Dowling
[Kangaroo Court of Australia](#)
Ph 0411 238 704

IMPORTANT - PRIVACY & CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this email and any attachments thereto may be of a confidential and/or private nature, and it may also be the subject of legal professional privilege.

If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this email or its attachments is unauthorised.

If you have received this email in error, please notify the Commission immediately by return email and erase all copies of the message and attachments.

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER: No liability is assumed by the NSW Independent Commission Against Corruption for expressions of opinion in this communication which are other than the official opinion of the Commission and a communication of other than official opinion is not to be regarded as a communication from the Commission.

IMPORTANT - PRIVACY & CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this email and any attachments thereto may be of a confidential and/or private nature, and it may also be the subject of legal professional privilege.

If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this email or its attachments is unauthorised.

If you have received this email in error, please notify the Commission immediately by return email and erase all copies of the message and attachments.

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER: No liability is assumed by the NSW Independent Commission Against Corruption for expressions of opinion in this communication which are other than the official opinion of the Commission and a communication of other than official opinion is not to be regarded as a communication from the Commission.