

From: SHANE DOWLING [mailto:shanedowling@outlook.com.au]
Sent: Friday, 19 August 2016 11:22 AM
To: 'supremecourt.commonlawregistrar' <supremecourt.commonlawregistrar@justice.nsw.gov.au>; 'ICAC' <icac@icac.nsw.gov.au>; office@upton.minister.nsw.gov.au
Subject: Media request - RE: Munsie v Dowling - Corrupt conduct by the NSW Supreme Court staff

Dear Registrar Christopher Bradford

I will be publishing a story on the weekend regarding your bribe taking and corrupt and criminal conduct. The article currently has the working title "Kerry Stokes and Channel 7 bribe Chief Justice Bathurst, Justice Harrison & Registrar Bradford again".

Can you confirm your bribe taking from Kerry Stokes and corrupt and criminal conduct or do you want to deny it? If you fail to respond I will take that as an admission of guilt.

You say below "*there is obviously a difference of opinion as to what has occurred*" No there is no "difference of opinion". You are lying and you know it.

Regards

Shane Dowling
[Kangaroo Court of Australia](#)
Ph 0411 238 704

From: supremecourt.commonlawregistrar
[mailto:supremecourt.commonlawregistrar@justice.nsw.gov.au]
Sent: Thursday, 18 August 2016 4:37 PM
To: 'SHANE DOWLING' <shanedowling@outlook.com.au>
Subject: RE: Munsie v Dowling - Corrupt conduct by the NSW Supreme Court staff

Dear Sir

In relation to your last email I would indicate that Prothonotary Kenna is not the Principal Registrar of the Supreme Court that position is held by Mr C. D'Aeth.

Your case like all other matters awaiting a hearing date has been listed in a Callover, such Callovers are to take place at 2.00pm

As to the other matters to which you refer, I do not intend to reply to the same nor do I consider them relevant where there is obviously a difference of opinion as to what has occurred.

I do not intend to enter into any further email exchange on such matters, especially as you are not copying in the other party or it's legal representatives on the same.

If you do not agree with my decision then you have remedies available to you , should you wish to avail yourself of the same.

Christopher Bradford | Registrar Common Law | Supreme Court of New South Wales
Law Courts Building, Queens Square, 184 Phillip Street, Sydney NSW 2000

Email: supremecourt.commonlawregistrar@justice.nsw.gov.au

From: SHANE DOWLING [<mailto:shanedowling@outlook.com.au>]
Sent: Thursday, 18 August 2016 12:03 PM
To: supremecourt.commonlawregistrar; 'ICAC'; office@upton.minister.nsw.gov.au; Victoria Bradshaw
Subject: RE: Munsie v Dowling - Corrupt conduct by the NSW Supreme Court staff

Dear Registrar Bradford

Can you please answer the questions that I have asked which are below in the previous email? If you are refusing to answer the questions, please explain why.

Regards

Shane Dowling
[Kangaroo Court of Australia](#)
Ph 0411 238 704

From: supremecourt.commonlawregistrar
[<mailto:supremecourt.commonlawregistrar@justice.nsw.gov.au>]
Sent: Thursday, 18 August 2016 11:47 AM
To: 'SHANE DOWLING' <shanedowling@outlook.com.au>
Subject: RE: Munsie v Dowling - Corrupt conduct by the NSW Supreme Court staff

Dear Sir

The matter remains listed on 9 September 2016 @ 2.00pm for Callover to allocate a hearing date.

If you wish to have the matter struck out for want of prosecution then you are quite entitled to file a Motion to seek such orders and the Motion will be listed for Directions

Christopher Bradford | Registrar Common Law | Supreme Court of New South Wales
Law Courts Building, Queens Square, 184 Phillip Street, Sydney NSW 2000

Email: supremecourt.commonlawregistrar@justice.nsw.gov.au

From: SHANE DOWLING [<mailto:shanedowling@outlook.com.au>]
Sent: Thursday, 18 August 2016 10:07 AM
To: supremecourt.commonlawregistrar; 'ICAC'; office@upton.minister.nsw.gov.au; Victoria Bradshaw
Subject: RE: Munsie v Dowling - Corrupt conduct by the NSW Supreme Court staff

Dear Registrar Bradford

You have clearly verbalised me in your below reply which given you never spoke to me must have been done on the advice of the Duty Registrar or Registrar Rebel

Kenna. In the attached email that I sent to Chief Justice Bathurst, every judge of the NSW Supreme Court and the NSW Attorney-General 2 weeks ago on the 2nd August 2016 with the subject line "Justice David Davies confirms systemic judicial corruption and urgent hearing request" I asked for the matter to urgently go before the Duty Judge to be struck out and seeking orders for:

1. *That the matter be struck out for want of prosecution.*
2. *That costs, damages be paid to me and a public apology be issued to me.*
3. *That the court charge Kerry Stokes, Ryan Stokes and Justine Munsie with contempt of court.*

Now you Mr Bradshaw are claiming that on Tuesday (16-8-16) when I was at the Supreme Court I told the Duty Registrar I wanted the matter set down for hearing. That is a straight out lie and clearly contradicted by the attached email. Can you explain who told you that lie or did you make it up? I will address the issues you have raised.

1. When I was at the court on Tuesday I asked the duty judge Justice Ian Harrison's associate Bernadette Heywood to have the matter heard then by Justice Harrison. I spoke to Bernadette on the phone and in person. She told me I needed to get a referral from the Duty Registrar.
2. I went and spoke to the Duty Register and told her I wanted to see the duty judge and told her I wanted the orders as per above that I sent to Chief Justice Bathurst. Failing that I said I would ask the Duty Judge for orders that the applicants Kerry Stokes and Ryan Stokes are made to file and serve affidavits outlining their claims. I told her that if they are made to file affidavits, which they should have been made to do a long time ago, then the matter will disappear as they will negotiate a settlement with me and withdraw the claim as it is frivolous and vexatious. The Duty Registrar said she would go and speak to Rebel Kenna and Bernadette Heywood. She came back and said she had the matter set down for you Mr Bradford which was not what I wanted. She started making up lies as to why she had done it.
3. I was never asked what date or time suits me. I am starting a new job soon and possibly couldn't make that time anyhow. I will not know for another week or two until I start the new role what time I have free. So the matter should be set down now while I am free all day.
4. As per the attached email can you explain why you turned a blind eye (in 2014 when the matter was before you) to the fact that lawyer Justine Munsie is being paid to represent Kerry Stokes and is also being paid to be an applicant in the matter which is in effect bribing a witness. The matter should have been struck out by you then and the applicants charged with criminal offences. Why did you take no action?
5. You say at number 4 below "You apparently sought a date yesterday.". As I have stated that is a lie. Can you please advise who told you that or did you make that up?

Making a complaint to Rebel Kenna about the Duty Registrar as per your advice below is a joke given I have complained about Kenna before and part of the complaint is about her which you know. So who is the [person to make a complaint about Kenna?

You say that “*when a party emails the court it is expected that the other side or it’s legal representatives be copied in on the email*”. Well the other party has had 4 ex parte hearings (secret hearings) and never notified me. Can you explain why they can have 4 secret hearings with judges and don’t need to notify me but you want me to copy them in on emails? That is clear and blatant bias would you agree?

Mr Bradford you have clearly and deliberately intervened in my matters in an attempt to aid and abet the applicants delaying tactics and you should step aside and have nothing to do with the matter again. You previously aided the applicant and acted in a corrupt manner when the matter was before you in 2014 and on that basis alone you should have nothing more to do with my matter. Please have the matter set down for the Duty judge on Friday. I will be making a formal complaint against you Mr Bradford as you are a disgrace.

Regards

Shane Dowling
[Kangaroo Court of Australia](#)
Ph 0411 238 704

From: supremecourt.commonlawregistrar
[<mailto:supremecourt.commonlawregistrar@justice.nsw.gov.au>]
Sent: Wednesday, 17 August 2016 11:55 AM
To: 'SHANE DOWLING' <shanedowling@outlook.com.au>
Subject: RE: Munsie v Dowling - FW: Your requested document: Notice of Listing Generic

Dear Sir

I refer to your attached email.

I would indicate that :

1. Your matter is listed for Callover on 9 September 2016 @ 2.00pm to allocate a hearing date.
2. On 4 December 2015, the parties were directed to approach the List Manager to obtain a final hearing date for 2 days.
3. No approach was made.
4. You apparently sought a date yesterday.
5. The Supreme Court at present is unable to allocate 2 day hearing dates and for that reason the matter has been listed with various other matters for Callover to allocate hearing dates.
6. No hearing date will be allocated until 9 September 2016
7. The matter remains listed on 9 September 2016 @ 2.00pm

As to the other matter that you raised in your email, if you wish to lodge any complaint about what took place in the Duty Room then you need to write to the Principal Registrar.

Could I also raise that when a party emails the court it is expected that the other side or it's legal representatives be copied in on the email. I would be obliged if you could do that in future.

I hope the above is of assistance.

Christopher Bradford | Registrar Common Law | Supreme Court of New South Wales
Law Courts Building, Queens Square, 184 Phillip Street, Sydney NSW 2000

Email: supremecourt.commonlawregistrar@justice.nsw.gov.au

From: SHANE DOWLING [<mailto:shanedowling@outlook.com.au>]
Sent: Tuesday, 16 August 2016 4:46 PM
To: supremecourt.commonlawregistrar; 'ICAC'
Subject: Munsie v Dowling - FW: Your requested document: Notice of Listing Generic

Dear Registrar Christopher Bradshaw

The attached email I received today and says that my matter is set down for 2pm Friday the 9th of August. It should not have been set down as I did not ask for it to be set down for hearing. I asked to see the Duty Judge who was known bribe taker Justice Ian Harrison who refused after he colluded with corrupt Registrar Rebel Kenna. I made a previous complaint for assault against Rebel Kenna and a court sheriff last year and she should not have been anywhere near the case which I told the Duty Registrar. It is also my understanding that Rebel Kenna is having a sexual relationship with barrister Sandy Dawson who is representing the applicants and should not have dealt with the matter on the basis of a personal relationship as well. The Duty Registrar did not refute the sexual relationship between Dawson and Kenna when I raised it with her and seemed to confirm her knowledge of the sexual relationship.

Can you please have the matter set down this week as it will only take five minutes to deal with and it has been dragging on for 2 and ½ years. Can you also please tell me the name of the Duty Register as she refused to tell me when I asked her and can you tell me the process for making a complaint against the Duty Register?

Regards

Shane Dowling
[Kangaroo Court of Australia](#)
Ph 0411 238 704

From: supremecourt.enquiries@courts.nsw.gov.au
[<mailto:supremecourt.enquiries@courts.nsw.gov.au>]
Sent: Tuesday, 16 August 2016 11:54 AM
To: shanedowling@hotmail.com
Subject: Your requested document: Notice of Listing Generic

Please find attached document: Notice of Listing Generic

Please consider our environment before printing this email.

This email and any attachments may be confidential and contain privileged information.

If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this communication.

If you have received this message in error please delete and notify the sender. When communicating

by email you consent to the monitoring and recording of that correspondence